

PERFORMANCE OF SCHOOLS IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER
(September 2010 Interior Design Licensure Examination)

SCHOOL	FIRST TIMERS					REPEATERS					OVERALL PERFORMANCE				
	PASSED	FAILED	COND	TOTAL	% PASSED	PASSED	FAILED	COND	TOTAL	% PASSED	PASSED	FAILED	COND	TOTAL	% PASSING
ASSUMPTION COLLEGE-MAKATI	1	4	0	5	20.00%	0	2	0	2	0.00%	1	6	0	7	14.29%
BATANGAS STATE UNIVERSITY-BATANGAS CITY	0	1	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%
BICOL UNIVERSITY-LEGAZPI	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%
DE LA SALLE UNIVERSITY-COLLEGE OF SAINT BENILDE	10	5	0	15	66.67%	3	8	0	11	27.27%	13	13	0	26	50.00%
EASTERN VISAYAS STATE UNIVERSITY (for.LIT)TACLOBAN	1	6	1	8	12.50%	1	2	0	3	33.33%	2	8	1	11	18.18%
EULOGIO (AMANG) RODRIGUEZ INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	0	1	1	2	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	1	2	0.00%
LA CONSOLACION COLLEGE-BACOLOD CITY	0	2	0	2	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	2	0	2	0.00%
MAPUA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	0	2	1	3	0.00%	2	0	0	2	100.00%	2	2	1	5	40.00%
PHILIPPINE SCHOOL OF INTERIOR DESIGN	10	10	2	22	45.45%	2	2	0	4	50.00%	12	12	2	26	46.15%
PHILIPPINE WOMEN'S COLLEGE OF DAVAO	0	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1	0	2	50.00%	1	1	0	2	50.00%
PHILIPPINE WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY-MANILA	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%
POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES-MAIN-STA. MESA	2	2	1	5	40.00%	1	2	0	3	33.33%	3	4	1	8	37.50%
SAINT SCHOLASTICA'S COLLEGE	3	4	0	7	42.86%	1	1	0	2	50.00%	4	5	0	9	44.44%
UNIVERSITY OF BOHOL	1	1	0	2	50.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	1	1	0	2	50.00%
UNIVERSITY OF NORTHERN PHILIPPINES-VIGAN	0	1	0	1	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	1	0	1	0.00%
UNIVERSITY OF SAINT LOUIS-TUGUEGARAO	3	4	0	7	42.86%	0	3	0	3	0.00%	3	7	0	10	30.00%
UNIVERSITY OF SAN AGUSTIN	1	6	0	7	14.29%	1	2	0	3	33.33%	2	8	0	10	20.00%
UNIVERSITY OF SAN CARLOS	6	1	2	9	66.67%	2	1	0	3	66.67%	8	2	2	12	66.67%
UNIVERSITY OF SANTO TOMAS	40	31	2	73	54.79%	11	4	0	15	73.33%	51	35	2	88	57.95%
UNIVERSITY OF THE EAST-CALOOCAN	0	2	0	2	0.00%	0	0	0	0	0.00%	0	2	0	2	0.00%
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES-DILIMAN	26	4	1	31	83.87%	2	0	0	2	100.00%	28	4	1	33	84.85%

NOTHING FOLLOWS-----

Any discrepancy in the performance of schools listed above is entirely unintentional on the part of the Professional Regulation Commission but rather due to miscoding of school codes by the examinees themselves in the application forms. Concerned schools may write the Commission for correction.